

# A Ten-Year Overview of Medical and Surgical Cases

Data Insight

2025



# Report Scope

- **This report details stories and data arising out of MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical cases.** Even though well-meaning providers intend to provide the highest quality of care, failures in the process of care do occur, and can result in a long-lasting impact on both patients and providers.
- We trust you'll read our data and associated case stories with an eye on both clinical risk management and on how these events might have been prevented, for the benefit of patients and providers.

---

**Throughout this report, we'll answer the following questions, among others, and support the answers with data:**

---

Which case types are most common?

---

Who is responsible for the patient injuries, and how serious are the injuries?

---

Where do the events occur?

---

How do failed processes of care, known as contributing factors, impact patient outcomes?

# Key Points

Over 19,000 clinically coded closed cases were referenced for this report.

## Surgical and diagnostic case types are most common.

- Patient management and performance-related cases account for more than three-fourths of surgical cases. Across the diagnostic cases, circulatory system and malignancy diagnoses are most common. OB, diagnostic and anesthesia case types are, on average, the most costly to defend.

## Surgical, medicine, and OB/GYN services account for the largest volume of cases.

- Orthopedic, general, and ophthalmology surgeons are most often identified in the surgical cases. Across the medicine cases, primary care (internal & family) is most common. In line with the above case types, OB/GYN, radiology and anesthesiology cases are, on average, the most costly to defend.

## Events arising in offices/clinics and inpatient rooms/ICUs are most common.

- Although office/clinic cases account for one-third of total dollars paid, cases arising in labor & delivery and radiology are, on average, the most costly to defend.

## A variety of contributing factors are identified.

- More than three-fourths of all cases reflect clinical judgment issues. Cases reflecting sub-optimal communication, clinical environment impact, and breaks in the process designed for diagnostic test tracking and follow-up (clinical systems) are, on average, most expensive to defend.

# Clinical Risk Analysis

The following section details clinical risk insights from closed cases brought against insured medical and surgical providers, across case open years 2014-2023.

A key point of the clinical coding process involves the categorization of cases into types. Case types characterize the underlying processes of care which most directly impacted the patient's outcome, and/or initiation of a claim/suit.

There is always one primary case type\*, and often several secondary types.




\*See case type definitions at the end of this report.

# Primary Case Type Categories and Financial Severity

Surgical and diagnosis-related cases are most common. By financial severity, although diagnosis-related cases account for more than one-third of total dollars paid, OB cases are, on average, the most costly to defend (followed closely by diagnosis and anesthesia-related cases).

Additional insights are provided later in this report.




MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153); \*Total dollars paid = expense + indemnity; \*\*Other includes case types for which no significant case volume exists

# Primary Responsible Service Categories and Financial Severity

Responsible services indicate the clinical service of the provider(s) most directly responsible for the patient's care at the time of the event. There is always one primary service, and often several secondary services.

Surgical and medicine specialties are most common. By financial severity, although surgical specialties account for one-third of total dollars paid, OB/GYN, radiology and anesthesiology cases are, on average, the most costly to defend.



MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153); \*Total dollars paid = expense + indemnity; \*\*Other includes services for which no significant case volume exists

# Primary Responsible Service Details

**Surgical specialties** 35% of overall case volume

Most common  
(% of surgical specialty  
case volume)

Orthopedics	33%
General surgery	14%
Ophthalmology	11%
Plastic	8%
Podiatry	7%
Neurosurgery	7%
Urology	6%
Otolaryngology	5%



**Medicine specialties** 27% of overall case volume

Most common  
(% of medicine specialty  
case volume)

Internal medicine	21%
Family medicine	21%
Dermatology	8%
Gastroenterology	8%
Pain	7%
Cardiology	7%
Hospitalist (medical)	6%
Neurology	4%

MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153)

# Primary Responsible Service Details



MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153)

# Primary Responsible Service by Their Most Common Case Types

## Surgical specialties

### Surgical treatment and procedures

Improper performance of surgery  
Improper management of surgical patient

## Medicine specialties

### Diagnosis-related

Failure/Delay/Wrong

### Medical treatment and procedures

Improper management of course of treatment

## OB/GYN

### OB-related

Delay in treatment of fetal distress

### Surgical treatment and procedures

Improper performance of surgery

## Radiology

### Diagnosis-related

Failure/Delay/Wrong

### Medical treatment and procedures

Improper performance of procedure

## Anesthesiology

### Anesthesia-related

Improper management of anesthesia patient  
Improper performance of anesthesia procedure

## Nursing

### Patient environment

Failure to prevent falls

### Patient monitoring

Failure to monitor physiologic status

## Emergency medicine

### Diagnosis-related

Failure/Delay/Wrong


### Medical treatment and procedures

Improper management of course of treatment

# Most Common Primary Responsible Service Roles

Roles reflect the specific position within the service team that was involved at the time of the event. There may be multiple roles identified in a single case within the same service team (i.e., a physician assistant and an attending/consult – both practicing medicine under the emergency medicine responsible service).

The service teams most often associated with a few of the roles are noted here.



## Physician assistant

- Orthopedics
- Primary care (Internal and Family)
- Emergency medicine
- Dermatology
- Neurosurgery

## Nurse practitioner

- Primary care
- Emergency medicine
- Orthopedics
- Gynecology
- Pediatrics

## Resident

- Obstetrics
- General surgery
- Gynecology
- Emergency
- Primary care

MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153)

# Clinical Severity\*

Clinical severity* categories	Sub-categories	% of case volume	Definitions
<b>LOW</b>	Emotional Injury Only	<b>5%</b>	Mental distress or suffering that is generally temporary; includes HIPAA violations, discrimination, involuntary stay
	Temporary Insignificant Injury		Lacerations, contusions, minor scars, rash; no delay in recovery
<b>MEDIUM</b>	Temporary Minor Injury	<b>41%</b>	Infection, fracture set improperly or a fall in the facility, where recovery is complete but delayed
	Temporary Major Injury		Burns, drug side effect; recovery delayed
	Permanent Minor Injury		Loss of fingers or loss or damage to organs; includes non-disabling injuries
<b>HIGH</b>	Significant Permanent Injury	<b>54%</b>	Deafness, loss of limb, loss of eye or loss of one kidney or lung
	Major Permanent Injury		Paraplegia, blindness, loss of two limbs or brain damage
	Grave Injury		Quadriplegia, severe brain damage, life-long care or fatal prognosis
	Death		Death
		<b>23%</b>	<b>% of cases resulting in patient death</b>


MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153). \*Severity codes reflect National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) injury severity scale

# Location and Financial Severity

Locations reflect where most significant injury occurred. By financial severity, although office/clinic cases account for one-third of total dollars paid, cases arising in labor and delivery and radiology are, on average, the most costly to defend.

## Example:

*Patient presents to the ED with chest pain. A delay in diagnosing a myocardial infarction leads to an emergent need for surgery, during which a surgical item is retained. A second surgery became necessary with no additional complications. The ED is identified as the location (and 'diagnosis-related' is identified as the primary case type, with a secondary case type of 'surgical treatment and procedures').*



MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153). \*Total dollars paid = expense + indemnity; \*\*Others include locations for which no significant case volume exists

# Contributing Factors

Despite best intentions, processes designed for safe patient outcomes can, and do, fail.

Contributing factors\* are multi-layered issues or failures in the process of care that appear to have contributed to the patient's outcome, and/or to the initiation of the case, or had a significant impact on case resolution.


Multiple factors are identified in each case because generally, there is not just one issue that leads to these cases, but rather a combination of issues.



\*See contributing factor definitions at the end of this report.

# Most Common Contributing Factor Categories and Financial Severity

More than three-fourths of all cases reflect clinical judgment issues, most often involving inadequate patient assessments. Cases reflecting sub-optimal communication (among providers and between providers and patients/families), night/weekend/holiday hours (clinical environment), and breaks in the process designed for diagnostic test tracking and follow-up (clinical systems) are, on average, most expensive to defend.




MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153). \*Total dollars paid = expense + indemnity; \*\*Others include locations for which no significant case volume exists. A case can have more than one factor, therefore totals >100%.

# Contributing Factor Details: Drivers of Clinical Severity\*

These failures in the process of care are among those most commonly noted in cases involving a high clinical severity outcome.

% of high severity case volume



MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153). \*Severity codes reflect National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) injury severity scale

# Case Examples: Contributing Factors as Drivers of Severity\*

## Clinical judgment

### Failure to appreciate signs/symptoms

Patient presented to ED with severe headache for several days. CT read as normal. Diagnosed with sinus infection. One week later admitted with brain aneurysm and subdural hematoma. Despite multiple procedures, now wheelchair-bound. No differential diagnosis noted in ED chart, and language barrier prevented adequate assessment of symptoms. **(\$1.6M indemnity paid)**

## Communication

### Suboptimal communication among providers

Patient underwent spinal fusion complicated by intra-operative hemorrhage. Surgeon left town after surgery; assigned post-op care to PA who did not call surgeon when cord compression identified hours later. Delayed decision to transfer also complicated when nurse not able to find physician willing to sign papers for transfer. Patient sustained permanent neurologic damage. **(\$3M indemnity paid)**

## Clinical environment

### Night shift events

Patient admitted at 8pm with ureteral obstruction after 12 hours in the ED. Urologist was called but opted to see patient in the morning. Developed signs of sepsis; transferred to ICU. Nursing staff had to initiate 'chain of command' protocol as attending internal medicine physician did not return calls. Continued to deteriorate, developed multi-organ failure, and died the next morning. **(\$750K indemnity paid)**

## Documentation

### Lack of documentation

Anticoagulants were prescribed to patient following unexpected femoral blood clot with bilateral pulmonary emboli. Patient elected to discontinue treatment after 5 months due to work-related travel making it difficult to return for follow-up visits. No documentation in chart regarding whether other treatment options were offered, nor whether he was counseled on the risks of discontinuing the medication. Patient died one month later due to pulmonary embolus. **(\$1M indemnity paid)**

## Administrative

### Failure to follow policy/protocol

Prior to an ophthalmology procedure, a required medicine was not available in a preloaded syringe. The nurse mistakenly substituted a higher concentration. Policy required the surgeon to read the label and for the nurse to verbally identify a change in medication. Neither of those steps were followed. The more concentrated injection resulted in damage to the patient's vision. **(\$350K indemnity paid)**


MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153). \*Clinical & financial; severity codes reflect National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) injury severity scale

# Focus by Case Type

The following pages provide additional insights by case type.

# Focus on Surgical Treatment and Procedure Cases

Management and performance issues drive the majority of surgical case volume.



% of case volume

## Most common...

### Management case details:

Treatment and management of the patient through the pre-, intra-, and post-op periods; includes diagnosing surgical complications and wrong site procedures

### Injuries in performance cases:

Puncture/perforation: 16%  
Nerve damage: 15%  
Laceration/tear: 9%

### Contributing factor details in all case types:

Procedural decision-making process, including appropriateness of the patient for the procedure: 59%  
Recognition and management of complications: 50%  
Failure to appreciate/reconcile relevant sign/symptom/test result: 32%  
Poor procedural technique: 23%

## Most common...

### Procedures in performance cases:

Hip, knee & shoulder replacements: 14%  
Spinal fusion: 7%  
Ophthalmology procedures: 6%  
Treatment of fractures: 5%  
Hysterectomy: 5%  
Laminectomy: 5%

### Responsible services in performance cases:

Orthopedic surgery: 33%  
General surgery: 12%  
Gynecology: 10%

MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153).

# Focus on Diagnosis-Related Cases

Inclusive of wrong diagnoses, failures/delays, and misdiagnoses



No additional differentiation is made between wrong diagnoses, failures/delays and misdiagnoses.

% of case volume

## Most common...

### Diagnoses:

Circulatory system: 21% (cardiac & cerebrovascular disease)

Malignancies: 19% (lung, breast, colon, genitourinary)

Complications: 18% (post-operative infections, puncture/lacerations, device complications)

### Responsible services:

Radiology: 17%

Emergency medicine: 14%

Internal medicine: 11%

Family medicine: 10%

### Contributing factor details:

Failure to appreciate/reconcile relevant sign/symptom/test result: 49%

Failure/delay ordering diagnostic test: 42%

Narrow diagnostic focus (failure to establish differential diagnosis): 33%

Misinterpretation of diagnostic test results: 30%

Delay in obtaining consult/referral: 27%

## Most common...

### Injuries:

Malignancy: 29%

Infection: 8%

Embolism/Thrombosis: 5%

Organ damage, Rupture, Fracture, Infarction: 4% each

# Focus on Diagnosis-Related Cases in Ambulatory Setting

Note key opportunities to reduce errors along the diagnostic process of care\*. Each percentage indicates the volume of cases impacted by the issues in each phase.

## Phase 1

**Initial  
diagnostic  
assessment**

**82%**

Patient notes problem & seeks care

History & physical

Patient assessed, symptoms evaluated

Differential diagnosis established

Diagnostic testing ordered

## Phase 2

**Testing  
and results  
processing**

**39%**

Performance of diagnostic tests

Interpretation of diagnostic test results

Test results transmitted to/received by  
ordering provider

## Phase 3

**Follow-up  
and  
coordination**

**65%**

Physician follows-up with patient

Referrals/Consults

Patient information communicated  
among care team

Patient compliance with  
follow-up plan

MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153). \*Each step reflects a combination of contributing factors; ambulatory process of care algorithm courtesy of Candello, a division of CRICO Strategies.

# Focus on All Other Case Types

Most common...

## Medical treatment and procedures

Improper performance of treatment/procedure  
Improper management of course of treatment

## Medication-related

Improper management of medication regimens  
(most often narcotics, anticoagulants, and antibiotics)  
Ordering errors (most often wrong medication,  
wrong dose, failure to order)

## Anesthesia-related

Improper management of patient under  
anesthesia  
Improper performance of anesthesia procedure  
(most often intubation and nerve injections)

## OB-related

Delay in treatment of fetal distress  
Improper management of pregnancy  
Improper performance of delivery

## Primary responsible services

Internal medicine, Family medicine, Nursing,  
Dermatology, Emergency medicine

## Primary responsible services

Internal medicine, Family medicine, Nursing,  
Pain medicine, Psychiatry, Cardiology

## Primary responsible services

Anesthesiology, Nursing

## Primary responsible services

Obstetrics, Nursing

Most common...

## Major injuries

Puncture/perforation, burn, infection

## Major injuries

Over-dose/under-dose

## Major injuries

Teeth damage, nerve damage, adverse reactions

## Major injuries

Organ damage, death, nerve damage, birth  
injury, hemorrhage

MLMIC and MedPro Group closed medical and surgical provider cases opened 2014-2023 (N=19,153).

# Definitions

Case Types and Contributing Factors

# Definitions: Most Common Primary Case Types

<b>Anesthesia-related</b>	Management and treatment of the anesthesia patient; inclusive of pre-, intra-, and post-anesthesia periods, performance of anesthesia procedures, diagnosing complications and immediate post-procedure pain management
<b>Diagnosis-related</b>	Encompasses delayed, missed and wrong diagnoses; inclusive of management of incidental findings
<b>Medical treatment and procedures</b>	Management and treatment of patients to address diseases and disorders; inclusive of the performance of medical and diagnostic procedures
<b>Medication-related</b>	Reflective of the medication delivery process, including ordering, dispensing and administering; inclusive of technique issues during administration
<b>OB-related</b>	Management and treatment of pregnancy; inclusive of antepartum, labor, delivery and post-partum periods; inclusive of diagnosing pregnancy-related maternal and fetal health conditions and performing OB procedures
<b>Patient environment</b>	Inclusive of falls and other preventable injuries during care, including physical safety (i.e., injury from equipment, surgical fires), infection control in the patient care areas, and security issues (i.e., assault)
<b>Patient monitoring</b>	Reflective of bedside observations and response to patients' physiologic or psychiatric reactions to disease, condition, injury or treatment
<b>Provider behavior</b>	Inappropriate behavior, including sexual misconduct
<b>Surgical treatment And procedures</b>	Management and treatment of the surgical patient; inclusive of pre-, intra-, and post-operative periods, performance of surgical procedures, and retained foreign bodies

# Definitions: Most Common Contributing Factors

<b>Administrative</b>	Factors related to medical records (other than documentation), reporting, staff education and training, ethics, policy/protocols
<b>Behavior-related</b>	Factors related to patient nonadherence to treatment or behavior that offsets care; also, provider behavior including breach of confidentiality or sexual misconduct
<b>Clinical environment</b>	Factors related to workflow, physical conditions and “off-hours” conditions (weekends/holidays/nights)
<b>Clinical judgment</b>	Factors related to patient assessment, diagnostic decision making, selection and management of therapy, patient monitoring, failure/delay in obtaining a consult, failure to ensure patient safety (falls, burns, etc.), choice of practice setting, failure to question/follow an order, practice beyond scope
<b>Clinical systems</b>	Factors related to coordination of care, failure/delay in ordering test, reporting findings, follow-up systems, patient identification, specimen handling, nosocomial infections
<b>Communication</b>	Factors related to communication among providers, between patient/family and providers, via electronic communication (texting, email, etc.), and telehealth/tele-radiology
<b>Documentation</b>	Factors related to mechanics, insufficiency, content
<b>Supervision</b>	Factors related to supervision of nursing, house staff, advanced practice clinicians
<b>Technical skill</b>	Factors related to improper use of equipment, medication errors, retained foreign bodies, technical performance of procedures

# MLMIC and MedPro Group Data

**MLMIC and MedPro are partnered with Candello**, a national medical malpractice data collaborative and division of CRICO, the medical malpractice insurer for the Harvard-affiliated medical institutions.

**Derived from the essence of the word candela**, a unit of luminous intensity that emits a clear direction, Candello's best-in-class taxonomy, data, and tools provide unique insights into the clinical and financial risks that lead to harm and loss.

**Using Candello's sophisticated coding taxonomy to code claims data**, MLMIC and MedPro are better able to highlight the critical intersection between quality and patient safety and provide insights into minimizing losses and improving outcomes.

**Leveraging our extensive claims data**, we help our insureds stay aware of risk trends by specialty and across a variety of practice settings. Data analyses examine allegations and contributing factors, including human factors and healthcare system flaws that result in patient harm. Insight gained from claims data analyses also allows us to develop targeted programs and tools to help our insureds minimize risk.



This document does not constitute legal or medical advice and should not be construed as rules or establishing a standard of care. Because the facts applicable to your situation may vary, or the laws applicable in your jurisdiction may differ, please contact your attorney or other professional advisors if you have any questions related to your legal or medical obligations or rights, state or federal laws, contract interpretation, or other legal questions. MedPro Group is the marketing name used to refer to the insurance operations of The Medical Protective Company, Princeton Insurance Company, PLICO, Inc. and MedPro RRG Risk Retention Group. All insurance products are underwritten and administered by these and other Berkshire Hathaway affiliates, including National Fire & Marine Insurance Company. Product availability is based upon business and/or regulatory approval and may differ among companies. © 2025 MedPro Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**TERMS, CONDITIONS AND DISCLAIMER** The presented information is for general purposes only and should not be construed as medical or legal advice. The presented information is not comprehensive and does not cover all possible factual circumstances. Please contact your attorney or other professional advisors for any questions related to legal, medical, or professional obligations, the applicable state or federal laws, or other professional questions. If you are a MLMIC insured, you may contact MLMIC Legal Department at 1-855-325-7529 for any policy related questions. MLMIC Insurance Company does not warrant the presented information, nor will it be responsible for damages arising out of or in connection with the presented information.